

## Communication

# Structure#Property Relationships in Redox-Gated Single Molecule Junctions # A Comparison of Pyrrolo-Tetrathiafulvalene and Viologen Redox Groups

Edmund Leary, Simon J. Higgins, Harm van Zalinge, Wolfgang Haiss, Richard J. Nichols, Sune Nygaard, Jan Oskar Jeppesen, and Jens Ulstrup

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130 (37), 12204-12205 • DOI: 10.1021/ja8014605 • Publication Date (Web): 23 August 2008

### Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 8, 2009



# More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





### Structure–Property Relationships in Redox-Gated Single Molecule Junctions – A Comparison of Pyrrolo-Tetrathiafulvalene and Viologen **Redox Groups**

Edmund Leary,<sup>†</sup> Simon J. Higgins,<sup>\*,†</sup> Harm van Zalinge,<sup>†</sup> Wolfgang Haiss,<sup>†</sup> Richard J. Nichols,<sup>†</sup> Sune Nygaard,<sup>‡</sup> Jan Oskar Jeppesen,<sup>\*,‡</sup> and Jens Ulstrup<sup>§</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZD, U.K., Department of Physics and Chemistry, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark, Department of Chemistry and NanoDTU, Technical University of Denmark, DK2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

Received March 6, 2008; E-mail: shiggins@liv.ac.uk; joj@ifk.sdu.dk

There is a growing realization of the important role that electrochemistry plays in molecular and organic electronics and of the potential for creating new electronic devices which use electrochemistry to control an electrical response. Electrochemical processes have been implicated in controlling the electrical behavior of a number of molecular devices such as rotaxane based switches and negative differential resistance (NDR)<sup>1</sup> devices, although it is not always straightforward to ascertain the mechanism. It has recently been demonstrated that the electrical properties of single molecules incorporating redox groups (e.g., viologens,<sup>2,3</sup> oligophenyleneethynylenes,<sup>4</sup> perylene tetracarboxylic diimides (PTCDI),<sup>5</sup> and oligo-anilines<sup>6</sup>) can be characterized as they are electrochemically switched between redox states, opening the possibility to examine directly the link between redox state and conductance of individual molecules.<sup>2</sup> Such experiments typically use a scanning tunneling microscope (STM), with individual redox active molecules tethered via Au-S bonds between a gold substrate and tip, under potential control.

There is a clear analogy in these experiments to a molecular transistor, with the tip and surface acting as source and drain,  $I_{SD}$ being the current flow between these two electrodes, while the counter electrode acts as a "gate". It has been shown in several instances that electrochemically gated conductance increases occur concomitantly with redox changes.<sup>2-6</sup> The following questions now arise: can other device characteristics be controlled by the structural, chemical or electronic properties of the redox group, and can structure-property relationships be derived? Here, we show that the nature of the  $I_{SD}$  vs electrochemical gating potential ( $E_{Gate}$ ) profile can be controlled by the nature of the redox group: the pyrrolo-tetrathiafulvalene (PTTF) molecular bridge 6PTTF6 (Figure 1) exhibits a sharp off-on-off transition as  $E_{Gate}$  is swept through the redox transition, while the viologen 6V6 exhibits a much broader off-on switching profile. We discuss possible reasons for these observations.

The two molecular bridges shown in Figure 1 (left) only differ in the central redox moiety. In this configuration, the redox groups are electronically "decoupled" from the enclosing metal contacts by the  $-(CH_2)_6SH$  arms, giving double tunneling barriers. It has been previously shown<sup>2</sup> that the conductance of 6V6 increases as the potential is swept negative, but no peak is observed in the conductance-overpotential relation around the  $V^{2+}/V^{*+}$  equilibrium potential. Instead, a broad rise occurs across the redox wave, which does not level off within the attainable negative potential limit. This unusual behavior has been attributed to configurational fluctuations







Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of 6PTTF6 (blue), 6V6 monolayer (red), and 6Ph6 (black) monolayers on Au(111) single crystal electrodes, recorded in 10 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>/NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 6.8 at 1 V s<sup>-1</sup>. The irreversible oxidation at >+0.8 V seen for 6PTTF6 is due to oxidative desorption of Au–S.

of the flexible polymethylene chains.<sup>2b</sup> This process leads to a "soft gating" of superexchange-based electron transport across 6V6, as the molecular bridge attains configurations in which tunneling is more facile by preorganization of the nuclear coordinates and environment.

We next examined structurally related molecules, to test whether "soft gating" is a general phenomenon. Tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) derivatives have already served as  $\pi$ -electron donors in molecular and supramolecular systems designed for molecular electronics.<sup>8</sup> We chose the PTTF redox moiety (Figure 1) since (i) possible complications arising from cis and trans mixtures for "symmetrically" disubstituted TTFs9 are obviated10 and (ii) PTTFs are particularly electron-rich and show two fully reversible, one-electron oxidations, the first of which lies well within the potential range where Au-S bonds are stable in aqueous electrolyte. Compound 6PTTF6 was synthesized and fully characterized<sup>11</sup> (see Supporting Information (SI)).

Figure 2 shows cyclic voltammograms of monolayers of 6PTTF6, 6V6, and 6Ph6 on Au(111) in aqueous buffer, and Figure 3 shows single molecule conductance data for each molecule as a function of the overpotential (redox active molecules) or electrode potential (6Ph6). For 6PTTF6, the data show a conductance rise from  $\sim 0.5$ nS in its neutral state to a maximum of 2.5 nS, which falls again to the "off" conductance value of  $\sim 0.5$  nS at positive overpotentials. The width of the conductance peak is  $\sim 0.2$  V, in the range

University of Liverpool.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> University of Southern Denmark. <sup>§</sup> Technical University of Denmark.



Figure 3. Single molecule conductance of (a) 6V6 (red), (b) 6PTTF6 (blue), and (c) 6Ph6 (black), versus the overpotential ( $\eta$ ) for the redox molecules and the electrode potential for 6Ph6. Data for filled symbols obtained using I(s) method; for open symbols using I(t) method (see SI).

expected<sup>12</sup> for the tip-to-sample bias voltage of 0.2 V employed in these experiments. The conductance of the redox-inactive 6Ph6<sup>7</sup> is similar to that of the "off" states of 6V6 and 6PTTF6 but does not change as a function of potential; the frontier  $\pi$ -orbitals of the benzene ring are too far from the Fermi energy of Au to be brought into resonance by gate potentials accessible in these experiments. The "classical" behavior of the goldl6PTTF6lgold single molecule junction, with a symmetrical peak close to the first oxidation potential for PTTF, can be rationalized in terms of both two-step (hopping) mechanisms and superexchange (tunneling) modes. In the hopping mechanism the oxidized (PTTF<sup>•+</sup>) and reduced (PTTF<sup>0</sup>) forms would contribute comparably at the equilibrium redox potential to the tunneling current across the molecular junction.<sup>13</sup> A maximum would then result in the conductance vs overpotential relationship, as is experimentally observed. A maximum is also expected in the tunneling or superexchange mechanisms when the two redox states of PTTF are comparably populated at the reversible potential.<sup>13a</sup> The question is why does the PTTF moiety behave classically, while the viologen moiety does not?

The conductance of both 6V6 and 6PTTF6 in the "off" state and the factor by which the conductance changes on reduction (6V6) or oxidation (6PTTF6) are similar. The difference clearly must lie in the properties of the central redox moiety, because the flexible alkyl spacers are present in all three molecules. Both the neutral PTTF moiety<sup>14</sup> and structurally characterized TTF<sup>++</sup> derivatives<sup>16</sup> are planar in the solid state. DFT calculations<sup>15</sup> show that the PTTF core of an isolated molecule (as found in metal-molecule-metal junctions) is also planar for both states. Viologen dications can be planar or twisted about the inter-ring C-C bond, depending upon the anion, suggesting that inter-ring twisting is a low-energy process.<sup>17</sup> Strong  $\pi - \pi$  interactions in the solid state mean that the sole crystal structure of a V<sup>•+</sup> salt<sup>18</sup> can tell us little about the likely configuration of an isolated molecule, but greater coplanarization upon reduction and increased inter-ring C-C double bond character is predicted.<sup>15,19</sup> There are therefore significant configurational differences between V<sup>2+</sup> and V<sup>+</sup> that are not apparent in the PTTF system.<sup>20</sup> We suggest that these are the likely cause of the differences in the two systems and that the tunneling factor depends upon the instantaneous nuclear configuration of the twisting mode for the viologen, giving the different form of the current/overpotential relation.

In summary, we have shown that well-defined redox switching can be obtained in gold/6PTTF6/gold single molecule junctions. We have achieved off-on-off switching (in accord with theoretical expectations and the simplest view of the two-step process<sup>13b</sup>) in these junctions, controlled by the redox electrochemistry of the PTTF group. Differences between the conductance-overpotential behavior of 6V6 and 6PTTF6 are rationalized in terms of conformational dynamics of the respective systems.

Acknowledgment. We thank the EPSRC (Grant EP/C00678X/ 1) for funding and for provision of the Chemical Database Service. The Danish groups thank the DSRC (2117-05-0115) and the DRCTPS (274-07-0272) for funding.

Supporting Information Available: Details of the synthesis and characterization of 6PTTF6, molecular conductance determinations, and SPARTAN calculations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### References

- (a) Flood, A. H.; Stoddart, J. F.; Steuerman, D. W.; Heath, J. R. Science (1)2004, 306, 2055. (b) Chen, J.; Reed, M. A.; Rowlett, A. M.; Tour, J. M. Science 1999, 286, 1550.
- (2) (a) Haiss, W.; van Zalinge, H.; Higgins, S. J.; Bethell, D.; Höbenreich, H.; Schiffrin, D. J.; Nichols, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 15294. (b) Haiss, W.; Albrecht, T.; van Zalinge, H.; Higgins, S. J.; Bethell, D.; Höbenreich, H.; Schiffrin, D. J.; Nichols, R. J.; Kuznetsov, A. M.; Zhang, J.; Chi, Q.; Ulstrup, J. J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 6703. (c) Haiss, W.; Van Zalinge, H.; Höbenreich, H.; Bethell, D.; Schiffrin, D. J.; Higgins, S. J.; Nichols, R. J. Langmuir 2004, 20, 7694
- (3) Li, Z. H.; Han, B.; Meszaros, G.; Pobelov, I.; Wandlowski, T.; Blaszczyk, A.; Mayor, M. Faraday Discuss. 2006, 131, 121.
- (4) Xiao, X. Y.; Nagahara, L. A.; Rawlett, A. M.; Tao, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9235.
- (5) Li, X. L.; Xu, B. Q.; Xiao, X. Y.; Yang, X. M.; Zang, L.; Tao, N. J. Faraday Discuss. 2006, 131, 111.
- (6) (a) Chen, F.; He, J.; Nuckolls, C.; Roberts, T.; Klare, J. E.; Lindsay, S. *Nano Lett.* **2005**, *5*, 503. (b) He, J.; Chen, F.; Lindsay, S.; Nuckolls, C. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2007, 90, 072112. (c) Chen, F.; Nuckolls, C.; Lindsay, S. Chem. Phys. 2006, 324, 236.
- (7) Leary, E.; Higgins, S. J.; van Zalinge, H.; Haiss, W.; Nichols, R. J. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3939-3941.
- (8) Dichtel, W. R.; Heath, J. R.; Stoddart, J. F. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 2007, 365, 1607.
- (9) Giacalone, F.; Herranz, M. A.; Gruter, L.; Gonzalez, M. T.; Calame, M.; Schonenberger, C.; Arroyo, C. R.; Rubio-Bollinger, G.; Velez, M.; Agrait, N.; Martin, N. Chem. Commun. 2007, 4854.
- (10) Jeppesen, J. O.; Becher, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 3245.
- (11) (a) Jeppesen, J. O.; Takimiya, K.; Jensen, F.; Becher, J. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1291. (b) Jeppesen, J. O.; Takimiya, K.; Jensen, F.; Brimert, T.; Nielsen, K.; Thorup, N.; Becher, J. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 5794.
- (12) Kuznetsov, A. M.; Medvedev, I. G.; Ulstrup, J. J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 127, 104708
- (13) (a) Kuznetsov, A. M.; Ulstrup, J. Electron Transfer in Chemistry and Biology: An Introduction to the Theory; John Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 1999.
  (b) Zhang, J.; Chi, Q.; Kuznetsov, A. M.; Hansen, A. G.; Wackerbarth, H.; Christensen, H. E. M.; Andersen, J. E. T.; Ulstrup, J. J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106, 1131.
- (14) Doi, I.; Miyazaki, K.; Takimiya, K.; Kunugi, Y. Chem. Mater. 2007, 19, 5230.
- (15) Spartan'04, DFT implementation, B3LYP at the 6-31G\* level.
- (16) Honda, K.; Takasaki, S.; Yamada, J.-I.; Nakatsuji, S. I.; Anzai, H. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 1998, C54, 261.
- (17) Fletcher, D. A.; McMeeking, R. F.; Parkin, D. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1996, 36, 746.
- (18) Bockman, T. M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4127.
- (19) Hester, R. E.; Suzuki, S. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 4626.
- (20) The small difference in electron self-exchange rate constants ( $k_{se}$ ) between viologens (e.g.,  $MV^{2+/+}$ ;  $k_{se} 8.1 \times 10^{8}$ <sup>-21</sup>) and TTFs (e.g.,  $Me_4TTF^{0/+}$ ;  $k_{se} 3.3 \times 10^{9} M^{-1}s^{-1}$ <sup>-22</sup>) cannot account for the different forms of the current–overpotential relations for 6V6 and 6PTTF6. Note that self-assembled monolayers incorporating  $[M(terpy)_2]^{2+/3+}$  (M = Co, Os) units both show current-overpotential relations in scanning tunneling spectroscopy experiments similar in form to 6PTTF6, yet they have very different interfacial electron transfer rates,  $>10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (M = Os) and ca.  $10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  $= Co).^{23}$
- (21) Grampp, G.; Mladenova, B. Y.; Kattnig, D. R.; Landgraf, S. Appl. Magn.
- (21) Orampp, O., Miadolova, D. T., Radnig, D. R., Eandgraf, S. Appl. Magn. Reson. 2006, 30, 145–164.
  (22) Rosokha, S. V.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 828–838.
  (23) Albrecht, T.; Moth-Poulsen, K.; Christensen, J. B.; Guckian, A.; Bjørnholm, T.; Vos, J. G.; Ulstrup, J. Faraday Discuss. 2006, 131, 265–279.

JA8014605